

# Partnership with Business Project Performance Rating Sheet

Expectation Item	Not Demonstrated	Below Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	Points Earned
Describes project development and strategies used to implement partnership	No evidence of partnership development or strategies presented	Project development is explained briefly; very limited strategies are utilized to implement the partnership	Project development is clearly outlined. More than one strategy is outlined to implement the partnership	Project development is clearly outlined. Strategies are chronological and clearly explained to implement the partnership	
	0	1-7	8-14	15-20	
Explain the responsibilities of the business and chapter leaders	No leadership roles explained	Leaders were identified but responsibilities were not explained	Leaders were identified and responsibilities explained	Project leaders and leadership roles evident throughout project	
	0	1-5	6-10	11-15	
Appropriate level of chapter member involvement in project	Chapter involvement is not explained	Participation was limited to a small number of members	Partnership was clearly a chapter project and participated in by multiple members	Entire chapter participated in the partnership and clear evidence is provided of the impact	
	0	1-3	4-7	8-10	
Show evidence of information learned from partnership; Business planning/ organization/control/ objectives/goal setting	No evidence of information obtained from partnership	One example of information obtained from partnership is presented	Multiple examples of information obtained from partnership is presented	Multiple examples of information obtained from partnership is presented and implementation of knowledge described	
	0	1-7	8-14	15-20	
Evidence of publicity received	No evidence of publicity received	Information was shared with the media but no evidence of publicity is shared	Project was recognized within the community	Project was recognized in more than one way by the community	
	0	1-2	3-4	5	
Student evaluation of project effectiveness	No evidence of project evaluation is provided	Project was evaluated	Project was evaluated and the evaluation was assessed	Project was evaluated and the team has created recommendations for change should the project be repeated	
	0	1-2	3-4	5	

### Delivery Skills

Statements are well-organized and clearly stated	Presenter(s) did not appear prepared	Presenter(s) were prepared, but flow was not logical	Presentation flowed in logical sequence	Presentation flowed in a logical sequence, statements were well organized	
	0	1-3	4-7	8-10	
Demonstrates self-confidence, poise, assertiveness, and good voice projection	Presenter(s) did not demonstrate self-confidence	Presenter(s) demonstrated self-confidence and poise	Presenter(s) demonstrated self-confidence, poise, and good voice projection	Presenter(s) demonstrated self-confidence, poise, good voice projection, and assertiveness	
	0	1-2	3-4	5	
Demonstrates the ability to effectively answer questions	Unable to answer questions	Does not completely answer questions	Completely answers questions	Interacted with the judges in the process of completely answering questions	
	0	1-3	4-7	8-10	
<b>Performance Subtotal (100 max)</b>					

### Penalty Points (Mark all that apply)

Time over 7 minutes	-5	Dress Code not followed	-5	Event Guidelines not followed	-5	<b>Total Penalty</b>	-	
							<b>Performance Total</b>	
							<b>Report Total (100 max)</b>	
							<b>Grand Total</b>	

School: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Comments: \_\_\_\_\_